





reks. Witness was not aware of the serious nature of the offence, and he had not felt himself greatly hurt after he got outside.

James Dowes, S. G. Sherman, and William Canning, barristers in prosecutor's house, gave evidence in support of the case for the prosecution.

Inspector Macleod said the prisoner was in liquor when arrested.

The prisoner said it was not half-a-dollar but twenty cents and he asked the prosecutor for, and the prosecutor and the court agreed to give him in the fees and expenses. Afterwards he asked the prosecutor what he had attacked him for, and the prosecutor immediately took hold of his revolver and pointed it at him. It was then that in self-defence he took him first on the arm and a struggle then took place between them. He explained that he came to have the revolver in his possession when he had been arrested, and the Bishop is anxious to have the cathedral draped in mourning. It was impossible, in so short a time, and with the facts of this work, to do this, so the mass has been deferred.

The mass will be a pontifical one, and will be celebrated by Bishop Macleod.

The pirates who were arrested the other day have no connection with the Greyhound tragedy.

They were said to be concerned in another robbery, but on examination no satisfactory proof of their complicity was forthcoming, and all of them but one was set free, and it is probable he will also be released.

## CANTON.

[FROM A CORRESPONDENT.]

22nd December.

The rewards offered by the two Magistrates about a month ago for the arrest or detection of notorious robbers have not been productive of results, within the last three weeks no less than twenty well known offenders have been held by the police, and most of them now lie in prison awaiting the order for their removal to that reptile Ascalona which has witnessed the despatching of such hosts of law-breakers. Some of the criminals have been already condemned to lose their heads.

A proclamation has just been issued by the Pao Yu Magistrate enjoining the public to observe the provisions of the Treaty strictly, and neither to molest nor annoy foreigners in any way. This proclamation was the result of a complaint lodged by the French Consul. It appears that that official when in the South Gate district the other day was insulted and jeered at by a shipman, a colour shop. The Consul complained of this conduct to the Authorities, and the offender was hauled up to the Magistrate's Yamen and reprimanded, after which the proclamation was issued to prevent further uncivil manifestations.

The jury found the prisoner guilty of simple wounding, and not guilty on the more serious count. His Lordship remarked that the jury had taken a very merciful view. Sentence deferred.

## ANOTHER STABBING CASE.

Shok Fun Chow, a Malay, was charged with stabbing Kuan Yei, a patient, with a knife on the 14th November, also with simple wounding.

He pleaded not guilty on the first count, and guilty on the second. The trial proceeded on the first count.

The prisoner was at the brothel of which the prosecutrix is an inmate, but having no money he was requested to leave. He declined to do so, and in the end stabbed the woman four times with a knife, and when she was taken to the hospital, for which she was treated at the hospital, Dr. Marques. The only defence he now set up was that he felt himself aggrieved by the treatment he had received at the house, where he said he had spent \$130 and the prosecutrix had purchased two girls with the money.

The jury returned a verdict of guilty on the first count.

Sentence deferred.

## HIGHWAY ROBBERY.

Chen Amui and Chai Afai were charged with assaulting and robbing Leong Aun and stealing a purse containing \$6.79 and a jade stone bangle value \$10 on the 15th November.

The prosecutrix was staying along Queen's Road West, when she was attacked by Leong Aun, who was then the day-pensioner, who was wounded, knocked down, and robbed by three men. He communicated with the police and a Chinese constable took him to a coolie house where the two prisoners were found, who were identified by the prosecutor as two of the men engaged in the attack. An arm dagger and a sword were found on their persons. The constable, on being asked whether he was able to identify the persons, said he knew it was a house where robbers stopped; there were many such in Hongkong, and it was merely by chance he went to this one first.

The second prisoner called a district watchman, and asked him if he was not at his house sucking at the time the robbery took place. The witness answered in the negative.

He then, in summing up, remarked that the case hinged on the prosecutor's identification of the persons in the court.

The jury, after consulting some time, expressed a wish to have the district watchman recalled in order to ask him whether he knew anything at all of the second prisoner of whom he was a man of bad character.

His Lordship said evidence of that kind would be of little value, and that he would not allow the prisoner to be recalled in order to conceal the fact from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be decided by a little discussion, and he then directed that the jury should be allowed to consider the facts from the jury in order that their minds might not be prejudiced thereby when weighing the evidence on the present occasion.

The jury, after further consultation, gave a verdict of guilty by a majority of four to three.

His Lordship, however, said that the jury were so divided that the case must be

## COMMERCIAL INTELLIGENCE.

WEDNESDAY, 23rd December.  
For steamship *Geoffic*, sailed on the 19th December.—For *Yokohama*—762 bags sugar, and 375 packages merchandise. For *San Francisco*—16,256 bags rice, 100 bags sugar, 90 bags beans, 314 bags and oil, 54 boxes prepared opium, 11 cases silk, 5 cases crude opium, 235 packages tea, and 3,511 packages merchandise. For *Port and O.*—2 cases silk, and 6 packages merchandise. For *Vienna*—22 packages merchandise. For *Holmberg*—235 packages merchandise. For *Augsburg*—5 cases silk. For *Panama*—4,400 bags rice, and 111 packages merchandise. For *Boston*—2 cases silk. For *New York*—15 packages, 95 bags raw silk, and 11 cases silk.

—*QUEEN*.

Quotations are—  
Malwa (New) ... \$540 per picul, a/c of 12.  
" " " 100 catties.  
Malwa (Old) ... \$550 per picul, a/c of 11.  
" " " 100 catties.

Patna (New) ... \$567 per cwt.  
Bengal (New) ... 542.  
Bengal (Old) ... 520 " "

EXCHANGE.

ON LONDON.—  
Telegraphic Transfer ... 3/31.  
Bank Bills, on demand ... 3/31.  
Bank Bills, at 30 days' sight ... 3/41.  
Bank Bills, at 1 month's sight ... 3/42.  
Credit, at 4 months' sight ... 3/43.  
Documentary Bills, at 4 months' sight ... 3/44.  
" " " 3/45.

ON PARIS.—  
Bank Bills, on demand ... 120.  
Credits, at 4 months' sight ... 130.

ON NEW YORK.—  
Bank Bills, on demand ... 814.  
Credits, at 4 days' sight ... 821.

ON BOSTON.—  
Telegraphic Transfer ... 222.  
Bank on demand ... 222.

ON CANTON.—  
Telegraphic Transfer ... 229.  
Bank on demand ... 229.

ON SHANGHAI.—  
Bank at sight ... 723.  
Private, 30 days' sight ... 731.

SHABER.

Quotations are—  
Hongkong, and Shanghai, Bank Shares—160 per cent. premium.

Union Insurance Society of Canton, Limited—\$450 per share.

China Traders' Insurance Company's Shares—\$103 per share.

North China Insurance—\$100 per share.

Young's Insurance Association—\$125 per share.

Chinese Insurance Company, Limited—\$170 per share.

On Tai Insurance Company, Limited—\$148 per share.

Canton Insurance Office, Limited—\$80 per share.

Hongkong Fire Insurance Company's Shares—\$75 per share.

China Fire Insurance Company's Shares—\$75 per share.

Hongkong and Whampoa Dock Company's Shares—\$100 per cent. prem.

Hongkong, Canton, and Macao Steamboat Co's. Shares—\$30 per share premium.

Indo-China Steam Navigation Co's. Shares—5 per cent. discount.

China and Manchuria Steamship Company, Limited—30 per cent. discount, nominal.

China and Manchuria Steamship Company, Limited—\$45 per share.

Hongkong Gas Company's Shares—\$90 per share.

Hongkong Hotel Company's Shares—\$155 per share.

China Sugar Refining Company, Limited—\$87 per share.

China Sugar Refining Company, (Debentures)—2 per cent. premium nominal.

Luxury Steel Rolling Company, Limited—\$80 per share.

Hongkong Ice Company's Shares—\$165 per share.

Hongkong and China Biscuit Company, Limited—\$120 per share.

Perak Tin Mining and Smelting Company—\$20 per share.

Penang and Sarawak Tin-Samarat Mining Company, Limited—\$35.

Sabah Tin Mining Company—\$10 per share.

Perak Sugar Cultivation Company—\$18 per share.

Hongkong Hope Manufacturing Company, Limited—\$81 per share.

Hongkong and Macao Glass Manufacturing Co., Limited—Par, nominal.

Chinese Imperial Loan of 1881 A—2 per cent. premium.

Chinese Imperial Loan of 1881 B—5 per cent. premium.

Chinese Imperial Loan of 1881 C—5 per cent. premium.

HONGKONG TEMPERATURE.

(From N.H.M., VOLCOX & CO'S REPORTS)

December 23rd.

Temperature at 1 a.m. 71.

Temperature at 8 a.m. 75.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 80.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 70.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 59.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 59.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 65.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 55.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 52.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 52.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 58.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 52.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 48.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 48.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 54.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 48.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 45.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 45.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 50.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 45.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 42.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 42.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 48.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 42.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 39.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 39.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 45.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 39.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 36.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 36.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 42.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 36.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 33.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 33.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 39.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 33.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 30.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 30.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 36.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 30.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 27.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 27.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 32.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 27.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 24.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 24.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 29.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 24.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 21.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 21.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 26.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 21.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 18.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 18.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 23.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 18.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 15.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 15.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 19.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 15.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 12.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 12.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 17.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 12.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 9.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 9.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 14.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 9.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 6.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 6.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 11.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 6.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 3.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 3.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 8.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 3.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 5.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 3 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 11 P.M. 0.

Temperature at 1 A.M. 0.

Temperature at 8 A.M. 0.